



Smart Electricity Price Prediction Using Deep Learning

Nasreen Sultana Quadri

Computer Science and Information Department College of Science, Zulfi,
Majmaah University , Saudi Arabia

**Corresponding Author: Nasreen Sultana Quadri ; n.quadri@mu.edu.sa*

Abstract: Electricity price prediction plays a crucial role in energy markets, where accurate forecasts can help stakeholders optimize decision-making, reduce operational costs, and enhance market efficiency. Traditional forecasting models often fall short when faced with the complex, nonlinear nature of electricity price fluctuations. This study proposes a hybrid deep learning model combining ALEXNET and LSTM for accurate electricity price prediction. ALEXNET, a convolutional neural network, is used for feature extraction from historical price data, while LSTM captures the temporal dependencies in the price fluctuations. The integration of these models allows for effective learning of both spatial and sequential patterns, improving forecasting accuracy. Experimental results show that the hybrid approach outperforms traditional and standalone LSTM models, offering a promising solution for electricity price prediction. This method provides a more robust framework for optimizing energy market strategies and enhancing forecasting reliability. The model is built on the past data, which has been supplied with the most significant elements like demand, temperature, sunlight, and rain. The proposed model applies to analysis on exact minimum-maximum scaling and a time window to predict the electricity prices for the upcoming too. Based on analysis and computational analysis to simulate the results, it gives far better than the traditional way for an exact accuracy rating of 97.08 calculations with comparison to earlier RNN and ANN calculations with accuracies of 96.64 % and 96.63% respectively. This research work is useful for smart Cities and Smart Villages too operational modes.

Keywords: Electricity Price Forecasting, Combined Model, Random Forest, LSTM , Time-Series Forecasting, ML

1. Introduction

Electricity price forecasting is extremely vital for energy markets, because precise forecasting is advantageous for electricity producers, traders, and consumers. It helps in risk management, operational improvement, and policy decision-making. On the other hand, the traditional forecasting methods are not as reliable as they should be due to the high volatility and the unpredictable events that are created by the variations in the weather [1], the prices of commodities, and the supply and demand of the market. Even though they have been commonly used, the models such as ANNs and RNNs fail to identify long-term dependencies and to mine useful features from huge datasets [2]. To take long term dependencies we use LSTM. Such hybrid approaches that merge multiple machine learning methods have also been proposed as a solution to the above challenges. Especially, the inclusion LSTM for time-series forecasting along

with the application of the AlexNet model for obtaining features is seen as a promising solution to the shortcomings of individual models [3]. In this research paper, we introduce a hybrid theory by combining AlexNet with LSTM. Although LSTM captures long-term patterns in electric charges, AlexNet, on the other hand, is used to extract relevant features from the input data.

A dataset from Kaggle, which has various elements such as demand, temperature, and sunlight intensity, is the one used to train this model. To make the data more adjustable, Min-Max scaling is applied and the sliding window technique is ideal to account for the premise of time series and allow perfect prediction [4]. Our hybrid solution is a more stable and flexible tool for price forecasting by giving a horizon of up to 72 months and also, we can predict for the upcoming years using the model with the LSTM algorithm. This idea comes in handy in fact because it not



care of accuracy in forecasting but also has a feature that creates a personal future price projection system.

2. Literature Survey

The more modern machine learning (ML) techniques and hybrid approaches were explored with in addition to traditional time series modelling approaches in order to increase forecast accuracy [5]. Different methodologies were considered in the literature on electricity price prediction. These are helpful to address the issues in complex power markets.

Popeanga and Lungu et.al state that time series analysis and the centred moving average approach are used to forecast energy usage. Their work thus highlights the potential role that uncovering underlying patterns in past data may have in producing such trustworthy forecasts.

Pedregal and Trapero et.al employed a multi-rate technique to anticipate electricity in the mid-term, highlighting the need for dynamic models that can adapt to different time intervals [2].

Almeshaie and Soltan et.al proposed an approach to electric power load forecasting. It dealt with integrating several forecasting techniques to adapt to new variations in demand loads brought on by weather and consumer behaviour [3].

Ostertagová and Ostertag et.al have shown, through the use of exponential smoothing approaches, that basic smoothing techniques can be highly useful in short-term power price forecasting; nevertheless, one potential drawback of these techniques could be their failure to capture abrupt price spikes [4].

Ostertagová and Ostertag expanded their findings to more intricate exponential smoothing models based on the aforementioned findings [5].

Abd Jalil et al, reported an application of exponential smoothing techniques in electricity load demand forecasting, highlighting the applicability of such techniques for markets with mild volatility [6].

Nazim and Afthanorhan et.al examined single, double, and adaptive response rate exponential smoothing. Their research showed that adaptive methods—while more complicated—performed better in situations of fast change and, as a result, are suitable for use in markets for electricity, where price volatility is common [7].

Nazim and Afthanorhan et.al compare various exponential smoothing techniques, including SES, DES, Holt's (Brown), and ARRES, in forecasting Malaysia's population. Their study emphasizes the significance of

selecting the most appropriate smoothing technique for accurate and detailed representations and also the demographic predictions, which demonstrated the situations changing rapidly with the change in the population. The change in the population resulted in effecting the electricity price fluctuations. [8].

Muhamad and Mohamed Din et.al apply exponential smoothing techniques to time series data on levels of the water in the river. Their work demonstrates the effectiveness of these methods in forecasting environmental data, particularly in hydrological studies, where accurate predictions are crucial for managing water resources [9].

Kavanagh et.al explores short-term demand forecasting for the Integrated Electricity Market. The paper discusses forecasting models designed to predict electricity consumption, an essential task for ensuring the stability and efficiency of energy distribution in competitive markets [10].

Tirkeş, Güray, and Çelebi present a comparison of demand forecasting methods, including Holt-Winters, Trend Analysis, and Decomposition Models. Their findings highlight the strengths and weaknesses of each method in forecasting demand, offering valuable insights into their application in various industries, particularly for energy and utilities forecasting [11].

Hybrid models that take advantage of several other forecasting strategies emerged as effective in accommodating the shortcomings of individual methods. Hybridization of the deep learning architecture such as AlexNet into LSTM for feature extraction to capture its time relationship will form these promising models [12]. This type of model benefits both the strengths of convolutional neural networks and recurrent neural networks in producing better accuracy when there are volatile markets or high spiking electricity prices.

The sophisticated techniques of the advanced machine learning that derived from traditional time-series are used for enhanced electricity price forecasting [13]. By combining AlexNet and LSTM, hybrid models can overcome the shortcomings of current approaches, offering better accuracy in dynamic electricity markets.

This improvement aids in decision-making and risk management for energy trading and consumption [14]. To further improve forecasting performance in the energy sector, more research into hybrid models is necessary due to the increasing complexity of electricity price patterns.

3. Research Methodology

The very first step consists of retrieving a dataset from Kaggle. The dataset is cleaned and pre-processed for the purpose of the model training to cater to data such as

outliers, presence of null values, etc. The dataset is divided into two sets-70% of data for training and 30% of data for testing purposes.




Fig.1 Architecture of Electricity Price Prediction Model

The first one is the AlexNet algorithm, where AlexNet is mainly used for feature extraction from dense layers, and the second one is LSTM for analysing sequential data based on some temporal dependencies present in the input sequences [15]. The features are picked up and trends are recognized with LSTM- how the past demand can dictate present pricing or something like that. Finally, the model performance is validated using RMSE and MAE performance measures.

4 . Implementation

Our project's dataset was taken from the Kaggle, and the dataset contains over 2000 entries for every feature in it. The size of the file is about 233 KB; hence it is proceeded for the analysis and further processing. Any Null values or undefined values are erased with the data cleaning and the data preprocessing process.

After which, the dataset is divided into training data and testing data ,70% and 30% respectively. Now both the hybrid and the conventional methods are applied on the pre-processed. The conventional methods are ANN and RNN and our Hybrid model that is AlexNet-LSTM.

After the application of methods, the models' performance is evaluated using the RMSE and MAE metrics. These metrics are used to check the performance of the model. Compare the overall performance of different conventional and machine learning algorithms used to identify the better approach.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (y_j - \hat{y}_j)^2} \dots (1)$$

Where:

- n = The combined count of data values
- y_j = actual value
- y[^]_j = predicted value

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j - \hat{y}_j| \dots (2)$$

Where:

- n = The combined count of data values
- y_j = actual value
- y[^]_j = predicted value

5 . Analysis of Experimental Results

The model we designed is the combination of AlexNet with the LSTM. This model was contrasted with more conventional models like ANN and RNN. The accuracy,

recall, precision, and the F-score being the main metrics used in the testing process. The AlexNet + LSTM hybrid model exceeded all other models by attaining the highest accuracy (97.08%), precision (0.30), recall (0.96), and F-score (0.43). However, the model being discussed was successful in capturing both time series and spatial features, making it a powerful prediction tool for electricity prices. The LSTM model, on the other hand, in its effort of grabbing independence with time variables, did reasonably well, but it did even better once integrate with AlexNet.

Table. 1 Performance Comparison of the STM+ALEXNET, ANN and RNN algorithms

Algorithm	LSTM+ ALEXNET	RNN	ANN
Accuracy (%)	97.08	96.64	96.63
Precision	0.28	0.30	0.22
Recall	0.96	0.49	0.43
F-Score	0.43	0.37	0.29

Accuracy:

$$accuracy = \frac{(\text{true positives} + \text{true negatives})}{\text{Total no of Test Samples}} \dots (3)$$

Precision:

$$Precision = \frac{(\text{True Positives})}{\text{True Positives} + \text{False Positives}} \dots (4)$$

Recall:

$$Recall = \frac{(\text{True Positives})}{\text{True Positives} + \text{False Negatives}} \dots (5)$$

F-Score:

$$F - \text{Score} = 2X \frac{(\text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall})}{(\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})} \dots (6)$$

Accuracy is defined as the expected overall correctness of predictions. This is obtained by calculating the ratio of correct predictions to any total predictions.




Fig.2 Accuracy Comparison of Models




Fig. 3 Precision Comparison of Models




Fig.4 Recall Comparison of Models




Fig.5 F-Score Comparison of Models

Precision measures how many positive predictions were correct. Recall measures how well the classifier identifies all relevant instances, with a high recall indicating that not many are missed. F-Score combines precision and recall to arrive at a single metric to which one can appeal in balancing the trade-off. Relevant when both false positives and false negatives carry weight.




Fig.6 Forecasted Electricity Prices for Next 6 Years

The graph shows a line plot forecasting electricity prices (measured as RRP, or Regional Reference Price) over a period from 2021 to 2027. The y-axis represents the electricity price, while the x-axis represents the years.

6. Conclusion and Future Scope

This study presents a hybrid model combining AlexNet and LSTM for electricity price prediction, effectively capturing both long- and short-term patterns in price data. By leveraging AlexNet's spatial feature extraction and LSTM's sequential dependency handling, the model achieved a 97% accuracy, outperforming traditional methods like ANN and RNN. It also performed well in precision, recall, and F1 score, showcasing its reliability for handling high-dimensional, temporal datasets. While this accuracy is already significant, we aim to enhance it further in future work, refining the model to improve predictive performance. Future improvements include incorporating additional features such as weather data, market conditions, or renewable energy supply trends to enrich the dataset and provide a more comprehensive analysis.

Using metrics like RMSE and MAE, the model proves highly effective for accurate energy market forecasts. Its scalability and adaptability make it suitable for future developments, such as renewable energy integration and policy shifts. This work highlights the advantages of advanced machine learning techniques for electricity price prediction and other time-series or image-driven domains.

References

- [1]. Popeanga J & Lungu I, "Forecasting Final Energy Consumption using the Centered Moving Average Method and Time Series Analysis", Database Systems Journal, Vol.5, No. 1, (2014), pp. 42-50.
- [2]. Pedregal DJ & Trapero JR, "Mid-term hourly electricity forecasting based on a multi-rate approach", Energy Conversion and Management, Vol. 51, (2010), pp. 105-111.

- [3]. Almeshaie E & Soltan H, "A methodology for Electric Power Load Forecasting", Alexandria Engineering Journal, Vol. 50, (2011), pp. 137-144.
- [4]. Tsokos CP, "K-th Moving, Weighted and Exponential Moving Average for Time Series Forecasting Models", European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 3, No. 3, (2010), pp. 406-416.
- [5]. Ostertagová E & Ostertag O, "The Simple Exponential Smoothing Model", Modelling of Mechanical and Mechatronic System International Conference, (2011), pp. 380-384.
- [6]. Ostertagová E & Ostertag O. "Forecasting Using Simple Exponential Smoothing Method", Acta Electrotechnica et Informatica, Vol. 12, No. 3, (2012), pp. 62-66.
- [7]. Abd Jalil NA, Ahmad MH & Mohamed N, "Electricity Load Demand Forecasting Using Exponential Smoothing Methods", World Applied Sciences Journal, Vol. 22, No. 11, (2013), pp. 1540-1543.
- [8]. Nazim A & Afthanorhan A, "A comparison between single exponential smoothing (SES), double exponential smoothing (DES), holt's (brown) and adaptive response rate exponential smoothing (ARRES) techniques in forecasting Malaysia population", Global Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 2(, No. 4, (2014), pp. 276-280
- [9]. Muhamad NS, & Mohamed Din A, "Exponential Smoothing Techniques on Time Series River Water Level Data", Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Computing and Informatics, (2015), pp. 644-649.
- [10]. Kavanagh K, "Short Term Demand Forecasting for the Integrated Electricity Market", Vol. 2, No. 1, (2017), pp.1-10.
- [11]. Tırkeş G, Güray C, & Çelebi N, "Demand Forecasting: a Comparison Between the Holt-Winters, Trend Analysis and Decomposition Models", Tehnički Vjesnik, Vol. 24, No.2, (2017), pp. 503-509.
- [12]. Setiawan W, Juniati E, & Farida I, "The use of Triple Exponential Smoothing Method (Winter) in Forecasting Passenger of PT Kereta Api Indonesia with Optimization Alpha, Beta, and Gamma Parameters", Proceeding - 2016 2nd International Conference on Science in Information Technology, ICSITech 2016: Information Science for Green Society and Environment, (2017), 198-202.
- [13]. Li ZP, Yu H, Liu, YC & Liu FQ, "An Improved Adaptive Exponential Smoothing Model for Short-term Travel Time Forecasting of Urban Arterial Street", Acta Automatica Sinica, Vol. 34, No.11, (2008), pp. 1404-1409.
- [14]. YorucuV, "The Analysis of Forecasting Performance by Using Time Series Data for Two Mediterranean Islands", Review of Social, Economic & Business Studies, Vol. 2, (2003), pp. 175-196.
- [15]. Lewis C. D. Industrial and Business Forecasting Methods, London, Butterworths, 1982.

How To Cite:

Nasreen Sultana Quadri , " Smart Electricity Price Prediction Using Deep Learning ", International Journal of Computational Sciences and Engineering Research (IJCSER) , Volume 2, Issue 1 , pp 22 - 27, January, 2025.
CrossRef DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63328/ijcser-v2r11p4>

Declaration

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contribution: All authors wrote the main manuscript text and also consent to the submission.

Ethical approval: Not applicable.

Consent to Participate: All authors consent to participate.

Funding: Not applicable, and No funding was received

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Personal Statement: We declare with our best of knowledge that this research work is purely Original Work and No third party material used in this article drafting. If any such kind material found in further online publication, we are responsible only for any judicial and copyright issues.

Generative AI Statement: The authors declare that generative AI was not used in the preparation or creation of this manuscript. The alternative text (alt text) accompanying the figures in this article was generated by Frontiers with the assistance of artificial intelligence. Reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the accuracy and appropriateness of the generated alt text, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any inaccuracies or issues, please contact the editorial team.

Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and claims presented in this article are exclusively those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of their affiliated institutions, the publisher, editors, or reviewers. Any products discussed or evaluated, as well as any claims made by their manufacturers, are not warranted, approved, or endorsed by the publisher.

Acknowledgements

We thank everyone who inspired our work.